ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD MEETING

July 17, 2002

Mayor Walker called the meeting to order at 5:00 P.M.

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT

Theodore E. Walker, Mayor Theodore E. Rauber, Trustee Steven T. Russell, Trustee Glenn G. Granger, Trustee

OTHERS PRESENT

Margaret Gioia, Robert Garlick, Planning Board Chairman Jim Barton, Barton's Parkside Hots John Marello, Abbott's Tom Balentine, Abbott's

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Motion was made by Mayor Walker, seconded by Trustee Granger and carried unanimously that the minutes of the Architectural Review Board meeting held June 17, 2002 be approved as read.

APPLICATIONS:

Nothing requiring Board action.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

1. John Marello – Abbott's – 138/148 S. Union – Certificate of Appropriateness.

Mayor Walker: Through a series of conversions, discussions, and meetings Jack Crooks, Code Enforcement Officer, sent you a list of the issues that were outstanding. We are glad your business is here and that you are being successful. But we do have certain criteria that, as Jack went over them with you; need to be approved before you do them instead of after. If you plan on doing anything else to the outside the building we need to review them before you actually go and do them.

Mayor Walker briefly summarized the topics that will be addressed and then asked Mr. Marello to discuss what he is proposing to do and we can go back and address each one individually.

Mr. Marello: The south side sign ties into the floodlight. I would like to move the sign over to the west per picture and move the floodlight between the third and fourth window and centered over the sign. Over the "A" on the sign. This would illuminate the sign, the deck and get it further off Main Street. This may take care of the problem of the light glare when heading north. It is meant as a distribution window. This is nothing but a pass through window. It is the same window from the original plans. There is no counter sitting out there.

BOARD MEMBERS ABSENT

Timothy M. Peer, Trustee

Mayor Walker: Just one window?

Mr. Marello: Yes, just the center window.

Mayor Walker: That window will be used any time your open?

Mr. Marello: It is used very rarely. It would be used as a last resort to go to the walk up window.

Mayor Walker: So it is not open, you want people to come inside.

Mr. Marello: Absolutely, for a couple reasons. One, your letting the cold air out. Second, because of the lighting it is a fly attraction.

Mayor Walker: You would have a sign that says, "Go inside"?

Mr. Marello: They would start out going inside and then if I see the lines getting to long I would say that we are opening the window. This would help get the lines down and then shut the window service. Then you would have the menu sign next to the door. (Refer to picture)

Mayor Walker: The intent for this sign is just for the window service?

Mr. Marello: No that is to let people know what flavors they have that day.

Mayor Walker: The lighting on Main Street is mounted on the building, correct?

Mr. Marello: Yes, all I did was change the bulbs.

Mayor Walker: The lighting of the sign will be just during hours of operation?

Mr. Marello: It would be from dusk to close.

Mayor Walker: The lighting of the deck, you are just looking to illuminate the deck just during hours of operation?

Mr. Marello: That is something I may need you to advise me of for safety reasons. Do we need to have it illuminated all night?

Mayor Walker: I don't know how much illumination you get from the streetlights.

Items addressed:

Flood Light –

Mayor Walker: When you first came to us you had a picture showing two lights on your deck. (Refer to picture submitted in January 2002)

Mr. Marello: Those lights shown in that picture was just an idea. This picture has been a nightmare for me. Because, when the gentleman took the picture of the deck. The deck had these lights on it. So when he super imposed it to the building those lights came with it. We never talked lights.

Trustee Rauber: I thought at one time you said you would be happy to get some of the old street lights and put them up there?

Mr. Marello: I did say that. But that didn't mean I would do away with the floodlight. Do you remember I wanted to do a park like setting with streetlights? But I was told they are not available.

Mayor Walker: The biggest issue I have with this deck lighting is the lighting goes a much further distance than the deck, the property. It illuminates that whole corner.

Mr. Marello: I don't think it does. But that is your opinion. I have been out there at nighttime sitting on the deck looking out how far I can see. I see people sitting on the benches eating. Are cars going by smash into each other because they are blinded, no? Turn around and look at the light at HSBC Bank and you will get blinded. My light is just a little light. It gives off enough light to light up the deck. Maybe we should sit out there one night and see. It is just my opinion.

Mayor Walker: Another illustration is with the new post office. What we ended up doing is for a couple of them we had the lights shielded partway in the front to keep it coming down instead of going off the property.

Mr. Marello: Ok, I don't think mine goes off the property. If there is a shield to keep it within my property then fine.

Trustee Rauber: I just keep going back to the code book for flood type fixture it is prohibited.

Mr. Marello: There is the bank and two at the gas station on the corner.

Trustee Rauber: The last time we met you were going to tone it down?

Mr. Marello: The only one that had a problem with it was Tim Peer. I met with Tim and said if I move that light back five feet from where it is now and explained this concept presented here. What do you think? Tim stated "I think it would be all right. So I suggested "why don't we do it and take a look at it". If you take the two spot lights off and just left with the floodlight then maybe that would be fine after that. May be we may have to meet again and say that may be a shield is suitable. If it lights up more of the back where the parking lot is than so what.

Mayor Walker: I agree with having light on the property. The issue really in the code is just making sure it doesn't go off the property.

Mr. Marello: I agree, but if I say that it stays on the property and you say it doesn't. Where do we go with that?

Mr. Garlick: Would it be best to try out the new location and then shield it at that time if it were necessary?

Mayor Walker: It might, the only reason I have reservations is I almost prefer the spotlights on that sign verses the amber light. Because, I don't think you would see the sign with the amber light on it.

Mr. Marello: You're right. But I was told that I couldn't have the spotlight.

Mayor Walker: No, you need approval to have the lights on.

Mr. Marello: From you?

Mayor Walker: Illuminated signs are regulated by the ARB. So any illuminated sign in the village needs to have approval.

Mr. Balentine: Our last meeting we were specifically told we could not use any spotlights for signs. The word approval was not used.

Trustee Rauber: Trustee Russell was on the Zoning Board and made comment that the Zoning Board does not like flood spot type lights and he didn't think that the Zoning Board would approve it. If you read the codebook spots and floods are called out in the codebook.

Mayor Walker: Personally and I don't know where Steve was coming from on this, but we do. If you are going to illuminate a sign we don't like back light signs. If you look at other signs in the village they are overhead and the lights come down to the sign enough to illuminate it, not real bright. The approval process is to make sure that yes they look right and with the right intensity. I apologize for the miss direction.

Mr. Marello: Well, maybe the spotlights are ok that the big light needs to be toned down.

Mayor Walker: I don't personally have a problem with the way you are illuminating that south side sign with the spotlights.

Trustee Granger: Not for nothing but you did say at the last meeting that you would tone that down somehow. My point is not the brightness but it looks ugly. I have been on the deck it is well lit and everything but I just don't think it aesthetically looks good.

Mayor Walker: Does this group have any issue with continuing use of the spotlights to illuminate the south side sign.

Trustee Granger: No

Trustee Rauber: I am ok with approving the spot light but to a certain wattage because I wouldn't want you to put in a 200 watt bulb.

Mr. Marello: How about no greater than what they are? That is if they are not bothering anybody.

Trustee Rauber: Where it is and with the spotlights on it doesn't look too bad. I could live with that.

Mr. Marello: I could find out what wattage it is.

Mayor Walker: If you would give Margaret a call so we can add it to our notes. If we could just look at the south side sign for illumination.

Trustee Granger: I have no problem with that.

Trustee Rauber: When could you have the wattage information to us?

Mr. Marello: No later than Monday.

Mayor Walker: The same question is going to be asked about the west side sign. So for illuminating the south side sign we have agreed on the spotlights. While we are on the issue of lighting of sign could we take a look at the west side? Do you have it lit?

Mr. Marello: Yes, we have it on a timer. We just changed the bulbs to see if it worked.

Mayor Walker: The issue would be the same thing there. Typically, when you come off a building the preference is to have the light up overhead coming down on the sign. Now this light may be fine.

Mr. Marello: I would be happy to turn and adjust to your satisfaction. We'll just meet up there one night to see what is best.

Mayor Walker: We can then conditionally approve the lighting of the signs on the west side of the building as long as their location and intensity just lights the sign and doesn't stray to far off into the street.

Mr. Marello: That's fine.

Trustee Rauber: Again, we need the wattage of the bulb.

Mayor Walker: We'll go back to the floodlight then. Any comments about the fixture?

Trustee Granger: The fixture itself is ok. It is just when it is lit at night. Is there any other way you can light the deck?

Mayor Walker: An option to consider is maybe a pole light at the outside rail on two sides up and just illuminating down.

Mr. Marello: I don't know how. It wouldn't be any different then the gas station.

Mayor Walker: W ell, I was thinking more like the street light type lights.

Mr. Marello: The only problem is that we are talking a thousand dollars. It is too bad that I couldn't have those lights. Could they put a few of them down there?

Mayor Walker: I don't know. Do they have the same illumination as streetlights?

Mr. Garlick: Generally streetlights are softer than if you need to brighten something for safety reasons.

Mayor Walker: So the illumination would be much less than what you get out of this.

Mr. Garlick: It would depend on what size bulb you use.

Trustee Granger: If you move the light up higher then it would be angled more down to your property.

Mr. Marello: Depending on to where it is lighting now, does it light to the ramp? Is it sufficient light for the benches? I do know what is sufficient and what is not when it safety.

Mayor Walker: The person I think you would need to talk to is Jack Crooks.

Mr. Garlick: I would think that there would have to be a wall mounted fixture that would be similar to the street light.

Mayor Walker: I think where Glenn is coming from is that this light is right on the building. It illuminates the building, the roof, and creates shadows. If it were out further it would tend to illuminate just the deck.

Mr. Garlick: They might find one with an arm on it with an antique fixture on it having the floodlight come from that.

Mayor Walker: A good point that you mentioned is the safety. At this point in time that item we'll take off line for a follow up with Jack Crooks is to find out what the requirements are for lighting the deck and ramp.

Mr. Marello: I don't want to water it down and have someone get hurt.

Mayor Walker: Your right, the only reason you have the floodlight there is for safety. The person you purchased this light from do they offer different ones?

Mr. Marello: I purchased my light from Valley Electric. I told them that we wanted to light the deck and this is what I got.

Mayor Walker: When we did our street lights we had a vendor come out and give us recommendations. I am not sure that is available for something like this. I would have to ask Jack Crooks. Here are the issues we want enough light, and the shadows on the building. What might be available that could do it better.

Mr. Marello: The guy might say if you drop the light a foot and a half or raise it. I would be happy to meet with Jack on this issue.

Mayor Walker: The other part is seeing what other fixtures are available. We could make contacts though Jack Linder to see what vendors he has used. The floodlighting is a follow up item.

The Mayor stated that the ARB has jurisdiction on the approval process for spotlights and floodlights.

Mayor Walker: Now that we have covered the lighting for the signs. Let's go back and talk about the location of the south side sign based on the discussions that we have had today.

Mr. Marello: It would not move.

Mayor Walker: The question I have is why is it in the location that it is in versus trying to be centered on the building?

Mr. Marello: The trees block it.

Mayor Walker: When you are coming down Union Street?

Mr. Garlick: If it was in the center where your original photo shows it. It is blocked also?

Mr. Marello: You would get half way down Union Street and then you couldn't see it.

Mayor Walker: The more you come down Union Street the more you loose the sign. Because the tree comes in to play so by putting it over towards the east you see it. The south side sign location will remain where it currently is. The approval for the location is based on the tree location on Union Street such that you can view the sign coming down Union Street.

Let's move to the take out window. Any issue with the take out window? Because of the location of this window being on the deck we don't see any safety issues. We will note limited use.

Next is the Menu Board. One item that may need to be addressed is the square footage of all your sign. We need to verify with Jack the total square footage of all these signs to see if you are under thirty-two square feet then you don't need to go to the Zoning Board. If you are over thirty-two square feet then you will need a variance from the Zoning Board. We do have a code under signage it specifically says that we do not want menu board signs on the out side of the business. But I think with Glenn's comment "It is an ice cream shop" it is very specific. So we will accept the menu board sign with the colors and size as shown in picture presented for tonight's meeting.

The beverage vending machine where else could you put it then on the deck, inside?

Mr. Marello: No, if you don't want it we'll just get rid of it. I could take the water out of it and put it in my coke machine inside. I think it is bulky and ugly myself. You have my vote.

Mayor Walker: Thank you.

Trustee Rauber: Just for our benefit when would you do that?

Mr. Marello: I could call this week and be at their mercy to have them come and get.

Mayor Walker: Let's say by August 1, 2002. In regards to the picnic tables you added some?

Mr. Marello: Yes, as shown on the drawing.

Mayor Walker: Do we have any issues with the picnic tables?

Trustee Rauber: Is there any opportunity to make them all uniform, either all painted or all stained?

Mayor: I don't have any problem with the sizes and styles.

Mr. Barton: The reason the original two are so big is so that someone wouldn't carry them off. I am honestly surprised that someone hasn't carted off with one of the smaller ones. For everyone's information the horse that is there I purchased with another person. It is a shared horse and I have it from May 1, 2002 to September 30, 2002. Then the other person will take it away to a day care center for the winter months. I bought the horse because the horses were a hot thing and I thought it would bring people in.

Mayor Walker: The horse is there with John's blessing?

Mr. Barton: That is correct.

Trustee Russell: Is there as consideration to moving the horse to a different location on the property?

Mayor Walker: You're concern is what, that it is right in the front as you drive down Union Street versus making it softer or something.

Mr. Barton: The reason that it is in that location is so the people heading south on Union Street would see it. If you move it back they won't see it.

Mr. Marello: The only concern I have is a little four year old runs over to the horse and now they are right on the corner.

Mr. Barton: It is a family thing. It is not kids goofing around on it.

Trustee Granger: I would like to see it in a different location myself.

Trustee Russell: Just a suggestion that we move it further into the lot away from the inter section.

Mr. Barton: The horse will not be there for canal days and will be moved over to the canal days booth.

Mayor Walker: The main thing is that the horse is acceptable on a six-month basis. But we request that it be moved away from the corner for safety reasons. Benches, you're looking at putting benches all around or is your intent, as your showing, just putting them along the south side deck.

Mr. Marello: Basically keeping them where they have been.

Trustee Russell: I like the benches it does break it up. What about the bushes?

Mr. Marello: We are proposing to put in bushes where the circles are on the diagram. I just wanted to make sure before I put them in then had to move them.

Mayor Walker: We then get into what do you mean by planter bush.

Mr. Marello: I was looking for an evergreen type deal the type that you can trim.

Mayor Walker: The issue gets to be making sure that are maintained. The plants that you are planting what will they look like? Are they going to be full grown?

Mr. Marello: I was thinking of buying three plants, digging three holes and just stick them in the ground.

Mayor Walker: Well this isn't just straight forward. Like if you plant a tree in your front yard. Then all of a sudden you can't see out your window because it is too big.

Mr. Marello: I want to get something I can trim and keep it in a shape versus a pine tree that's all over the place. I would plant them 2 $\frac{1}{2}$ feet off the wall.

Mayor Walker: They would not exceed the rail height? Were you also looking at doing anything along where the back step is?

Mr. Marello: I don't have any plans and the reason I didn't concentrate back there so much is because you can't see it coming down Union Street.

Mayor Walker: Anyone else have any comments on landscaping?

Mr. Garlick: Could you plant something in front before the bench (see picture)? So you would have plant, bench, plant, bench, plant, and bench?

Mayor Walker: You could plant something in the front corner to soften up the corner. But I don't think you would put in arborvitae.

Trustee Rauber: At our last meeting we discussed the concept of the staircase coming down in the front with some type of landscaping on each side of those steps.

Mr. Marello: That was all hinged on what ever takes place here tonight.

Trustee Rauber: Right, you were going to come in with a drawing of the stairs and show bushes or something next to the stairs. Not necessarily planted but potted.

Mr. Marello: The only thing is with the stairs is where I am showing them there would be no place to put landscaping.

Trustee Rauber: Looking at a picture I don't know how far these steps come out on the sidewalk.

Mr. Balentine: It is the same that comes out on the other steps on the building, 24 inches.

Trustee Rauber: If we went over there and put tape down out 24 inches on the sidewalk and then looked at the spaces that were left I could maybe say that there wasn't enough room for anything.

Mr. Balentine: I think that way that this was drawn up here it is not actually cutting into the deck it is just taking it from the deck out on to the sidewalk. I know it was discussed cutting into the deck and putting in plants. Because of the structure of the deck the easiest fix and most reasonable fix for us is to just throw stairs on it and come out no further than all the rest of the stoops on the sidewalk.

Trustee Rauber: My thought was that it breaks up the stairs and you won't trip over it.

Mayor Walker: Why don't we move the discussion to the deck and hold off on the landscaping because I think we need to talk about the deck and the steps and what might come out of that will determine landscaping. What you are looking at doing is taking and adding stairs to the front similar to the back. What I see is the steepness of the stairs on the back is very steep. The front is more gradual kind of grand type, nice and wide going up not a steep incline. If you look at this picture or go over to the gazebo typically where you have a lot of people wanting to come up these stairs, you want them a little wider. You don't want them so deep and steep. You want a staircase that says come on and walk up. The steeper you make them, I think; they are much less user friendly and a safety issue. Another thing I am looking at with these front steps is not open steps. When you first showed us a picture I saw all the other porches in the village. That was what I liked about it.

Mr. Marello: I can appreciate that. But what I see as the problem is now that I have been open for four months is safety. Were going to run into the safety issue, people are going to be riding their skateboard, biking, or walking on the sidewalk and having people walking on or off coming right into the traffic flow.

Mayor Walker: They do now.

Mr. Marello: Yes, but it is not a direct shot, they have to come down the side and out.

Mayor Walker: But that doesn't do anything for the person on the sidewalk, does it.

Mr. Marello: If you are just coming down the stairs and now you're on the sidewalk. There is a direct smash coming.

Mr. Garlick: I don't know if that is any different from someone walking out the front door or the building. What is the difference in that?

Mr. Marello: Because you have the steps on the sidewalk.

Mr. Garlick: You have a stoop coming out onto the sidewalk.

Mr. Marello: Correct a stoop of 24 inches.

Mr. Garlick: I don't see any difference of a stoop coming out 24 inches or steps coming out 24 inches.

Mr. Marello: I'm with you on that one but that's not what we are talking about doing.

Mayor Walker: There are two issues here. One is talking with the DPW. The DPW preference is 18 inches. The main issue we got into is the aesthetics. This is why I go back to this front porch. I see that type of picture I don't see these rails going up and down. I see balusters at the points coming up on top of them. That's the type of thing when we looked at this is what I was envisioning as what we were going to have. Not, I guess, this is a more modern design. I'm looking for this more village type of display for here that says it looks like a front porch that would be on West Avenue.

Mr. Garlick: Looking at this picture the only thing different I would have asked for from this original submission is a round baluster and instead of having a 90 degree angle at the top for a handrail type situation where the baluster comes up through the center. The rest of it with the lattice underneath it would look like a porch as opposed to a deck. I know if you drive around the village this is the standard. As we talked about earlier we have got to start someplace. When repairs become necessary for these other structures we are going to highly recommend the changes.

Mayor Walker: Taking a look at some of the other types of things from this picture like Bob was describing. You have a spacer underneath the deck you have the rail going across the posts and something on top. This picture shows the lattice work closing it in. If you go around and look at porches that is how porches are made. When we started that is what I liked about the picture you had. With the confusion and what ever else we have what we have there now.

Mr. Marello: It was just a misunderstanding. That's all.

Mayor Walker: We realize that and that is why you are in business and we didn't make you tear it down. As we got into with is coming up with some solution that we all can live with.

Trustee Rauber: I just wish he had built what he had in the picture then we wouldn't be having all these conversations.

Mr. Balentine: Wider railing further apart?

Mayor Walker: The front step, my vision would a six foot wide staircase going up.

Mr. Balentine: No railing in the middle and having railings on both ends.

Mayor Walker: We would have to take a look from a code stand point.

Trustee Russell: I would suggest a railing in the middle.

Mayor Walker: If Jack Crooks was here he could answer the question for us.

Mr. Balentine: You're talking about a different height now. You have moved from three steps to four steps.

Mayor Walker: Here again that is my vision, a more gradual walkway getting up on the deck instead of something steep. Something with enough deck that if there are people they have enough footing.

Mr. Marello: You are going to have everybody sitting on the stairs eating their custard.

Mr. Balentine: The difficulty for us is that we will have to cut into the deck where you get into the structural issue. Those are the things I'll have to talk to Jack about to change things to make that work. What we were trying to do was avoid the structural issue. But now we are going to have to address the structural issues. So that is what we'll have to talk to Jack about to make that work.

Mayor Walker: You did bring up before about how it was framed.

Mr. Marello: Yes, the main supports.

Mr. Balentine: The other issue you have is a seven inch tread height going down into the ramp right now. You can't have a five inch tread height on the ones going out front. You would have to eliminate the seven inch tread height stair all together.

Mayor Walker: If you put the one in the front there wouldn't be any reason for the other one.

Mr. Balentine: But you will still have to deal with the structural issue.

Trustee Rauber: When Jack was here at the last meeting was there ever an issue with the door in relationship to the steps.

Mr. Balentine: That is not a door. Jack said that it is a window you can't use it as a door. I'll redraw it and take it to Jack.

Mayor: OK

Mr. Marello: If we ever wanted to expand the deck off the back would that be an issue?

Mayor Walker: We would just need to go through and look at it.

Trustee Rauber: Bring us in a drawing not a picture.

Mayor Walker: The other thing you get into is if you extend the deck you may want to drop it down two steps and have another deck.

Mr. Balentine: You realize that there is the electric back there.

Mr. Marello: I was looking at a trade off. If I loose a couple of feet in the front I could add it to the back.

Mayor Walker: The other is the aesthetics and I see in here you actually showed some lattice work. I still have a problem with the look of all these vertical boards.

Mr. Marello: But you don't see that now with the benches.

Mayor Walker: Sure you do. My eyes catch these vertical pieces that are going on. That is way I go back to saying I was expecting this (referring to the picture submitted in January). With that it looks like a village porch.

Mr. Balentine: I'll shoot my partner for bringing in that picture.

Mayor Walker: Well, but if you had brought this deck in the first place we would still be having this same discussion. That we don't like this referring to the picture submitted for tonight's meeting). We like a porch. So if you could propose something.

Mr. Balentine: We'll just draw a drawing and eliminate the vertical and put lattice on it.

Mayor Walker: Well, this gets back to being as Bob talked about is that every time you have one of these verticals you have a cap on it not necessarily flat on top.

Mr. Balentine: Lets just deal with the lattice first. You want lattice on it. But you don't want lattice behind it you want it in front. Or you want the verticals removed and the lattice there. Do you have a preference?

Mayor Walker: My preference is that you take everything that is vertical off. Then what you do is from the walkway down just put lattice. Typically, lattice has a frame around it. Where the railing is on the top is where you put this type of thing. The type of design has the posts that have balusters sticking up and it has a handrail on the top and at every support is where you go and put...

Mr. Balentine: That's an awful lot of money to rebuild the thing. You would be rebuilding the whole outside edges.

Mayor Walker: Right.

Trustee Russell: Let's see what we need to remove. You have got the major posts.

Mayor Walker: Why don't we go from what I suggested to taking it all off to look at putting what you have on here as Steve is suggesting there may be a compromise? Between you just take all the little one-by-ones off do something to fill it in and show us what it would look like.

Mr. Balentine: Now the balusters as they are shown in this picture which are the ones we except they are long. We leave those and continue to use those same things except cut them off at the level of the deck itself. It will still do the same thing you are asking it to do.

Mayor Walker: No it's not.

Mr. Balentine: Yes, I am saying it is the same thing.

At this point in the meeting Mr. Balentine drew a diagram for the board and discussed exactly what he was talking about.

Mayor Walker: So can you sketch that up before we doing anything we want to agree to what you are doing before you do it.

Mr. Marello: Let's talk about those stairs again. Are we allowed two feet if we have to go two feet?

Mayor Walker: If we are looking at the five inch rise instead of the seven inch rise lay that out showing this is where it would come. Here is where the structural issue is. Do two: one at eighteen and one at twenty-four. If we do the five this is where it will take us. We then can make a decision.

Mr. Balentine: I was thinking before we talked is to wrap those steps around to make it a complete grand opening to that. But if you do that you still would have the seven inch rise. But at least it would be all open. I think of it as and old Victorian house with a huge open staircase.

Mayor Walker: If you have a vision that complements or is better than ours well listen to it.

Mr. Balentine: I don't want to waste my time drawing this out if we are not going to get the two feet or eighteen inches. Is Jack the one to talk to about this?

Mayor Walker: Yes, will have Tom West talk to Jack because Tom is the one with the issue. One other question is are you going to use the deck in the winter time?

Mr. Marello: Yes we will be open in the winter time.

Mayor Walker: One of the points is maybe a compromise. The issue with them going out is a winter time issue. I don't know that we can take the steps off for the winter time and put something else across it and just use the ramp in the winter time. Here again we can get creative on this type of stuff.

Trustee Rauber: I liked his wrapped idea. I thought that was what you were putting together.

Mr. Marello: Tom could mix up the whole thing.

Trustee Rauber: Well this board has to say this is what we approved.

Mr. Marello: I look down Union Street and see all the other stoops.

Mayor Walker: The issue gets to be that the stoops that are there are a pain. But they have been there forever. Why add another one if we could do something to avoid it. That is Tom's position. So with the deck we are going to take a look at this five inch rise and maybe even a little deeper step to see how it works and where it comes out. We talked about what to do for the look of the railings and we could have something back in a couple weeks.

Mr. Balentine: Yes.

Mr. Marello: The ways Diehl's is falling apart we'll be losing one stoop.

Mayor Walker: They will have to put one back because they have a step to get up into the building.

Mr. Marello: Once they have to replace their stoop do they have to do a wheel chair ramp?

Trustee Rauber: Well, Jack will have to look at that with the new codes in effect.

Mayor Walker: I think we have been through every thing. What I would like to do is spend a half a minute and run though each one because we have a new procedure. You will be getting a Certificate of Appropriateness.

Trustee Russell: Trustee Granger mentioned at the last meeting the possibility of a nautical theme are we going to address that?

Trustee Rauber: What was said at the last meeting was that we would let this deck weather for a year and then determine if it need to be stained. If it were to be stained what color would it be stained. At that point in time we could look at a different theme for the deck. That was the way I thought we left the last meeting. Glenn did bring up the nautical.

Mr. Marello: I did mention the buoy today and he didn't say anything about nautical.

Trustee Rauber: I would rather continue on and resolve what we have.

Mayor Walker: The only issue would be that if you are trying to incorporate it into the design of the deck you. You want to do it when you design the deck.

Trustee Rauber: The only other comment from Jack was the concern of trash in the area and was recommending trash cans. But I don't know if trash is a problem over there or not.

Mr. Marello: I talked to Jack one day. Jack said that he received a phone call prior to him coming over. We met there and I said where. The only thing I can tell you is the trash cans that the village supplies they get over loaded. Could they supply a couple more?

Mayor Walker: You have some on your property?

Mr. Marello: I have two trash cans and a dumpster. We could use another trash can from the village.

Mayor Walker: Back to the quick summary. So we can write up the certificate of appropriateness and get it to you and Jack. We started with the south side lighting what do we have?

Margaret Gioia: Will remain as is due to tree locations on Union Street. To get some view of sign coming down Union Street.

Mayor Walker: So it is acceptable in its current location. Lighting of signs: West side is a conditional approval as long as the lights don't go past the sign and over laminate the area. Bulb wattage is to be determined.

Trustee Rauber: I would like to know what day we will be starting that?

Mr. Marello: The one on the west side is already done. I will have to do some electrical work for the other side.

Trustee Rauber: When I go to work at 5:30 in the morning they are on.

Mr. Marello: I will have to see what the timer is set at and adjust it.

Trustee Rauber: Again, my question is when will this start?

Mr. Marello: Give me to Monday and if they are on after Monday call me.

Trustee Rauber: Well I could give Jack a call and ask him to give you an appearance ticket because it is illegal after Monday.

Mr. Marello: You can do that if you want to do that.

Mr. Balentine: If we have a problem with the electric John you will need the time to fix it. How about August 1, 2002.

Mayor Walker: By August 1, 2002 they will have the lights controlled so that they will go out at the end of business hours. The south spots are approved but we need to know what the wattage is so we can document it. The action items for the flood light is that Jack Crooks will be notified that he needs to determine illumination, specification for the safety stand point on the deck. This board will contact Jack Linder to see if he knows anything about these lighting fixtures for the deck to see if we could come up with something better for illuminating the deck. For the new deck design the action items are taking a look at the steps to Union Street with a potential five inch riser showing the impact to the deck, also taking a look at the railing system to be Victorian theme. The take out window: This board accepted the take out window because it is located on the deck. Menu Board is accepted in its present location for our records we need to know what the dimensions are. So please get this information back to Margaret. The colors were accepted as identified in picture presented. You may also want to consider the suggestion to frame in the menu board. The beverage machine is to be removed from the deck by August 1, 2002. The existing picnic tables are approved. Where you place them is up to you. The natural wood color that you have is fine as is the white color. If you wish to change the color you would need to check with Jack. You need to maintain them. The benches are approved per the sketch and will

Mayor Walker continued: actually have landscaping between each one of them. The horse is to be moved at least 25 feet away from any sidewalk or street. The landscaping proposal is to put three green arborvitae between the benches.

ADJOURNMENT

Motion was made by Mayor Walker, and seconded by Trustee Rauber and carried unanimously that the meeting be adjourned at 6:50 P.M.