VILLAGE BOARD MEETING December 4, 2002

CALL TO ORDER: 7:04 p.m.

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Mayor Walker.

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT

BOARD MEMBERS ABSENT

Theodore E. Walker, Mayor Theodore E. Rauber, Deputy Mayor Steven T. Russell, Trustee Timothy M. Peer, Trustee

OTHERS PRESENT

Alan R. Scheg, Village Administrator Pamela J. Gilbert, Village Clerk Thomas M. West, Superintendent of Public Works Richard J. Olson, Village Attorney

Joan Quigley
Bill Barlow
Kara Zabelny
Chris Moody
Lauren Coia
Meghan Gollaher
Jenny Keller
Beth Farnsworth
Dan Colangelo

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Motion was made by Mayor Walker, seconded by Trustee Rauber and carried unanimously that the minutes of the regular meeting held November 6, 2002 be approved as amended.

Amend: Page 2

Trustee Rauber comment to read: No, there is mostly word smithing, updating the census data, and updated maps.

Motion was made by Mayor Walker, seconded by Trustee Peer and carried unanimously that the minutes on the meeting held November 20, 2002 be approved as read.

PUBLIC HEARING

Comprehensive Plan 2002 Revision

Mayor Walker stated that the Public Hearing on the Comprehensive Plan 2002 Revision is still open from the November 6, 2002 meeting.

Mayor Walker: The reason that the Public Hearing is still open was due to the fact that we were waiting for input from Monroe County Department of Planning and Development. Trustee Rauber would you like to comment on their input.

Glenn C. Granger, Trustee

Trustee Rauber: Generally, the Monroe County Department of Planning & Development was pleased with the revision other than one error. Since the last revision of our Comprehensive Plan Monroe County changed their name from the Monroe County Planning Board to Monroe County Department of Planning and Development so we just need to make that technical update in our Comprehensive Plan 2002 Revision.

Mayor Walker closed the Public Hearing on the Comprehensive Plan 2002 Revision at 7:06 p.m.

SEQR Resolution

RESOLUTION 50 12/02

At a regular meeting of the Village Board of the Village of Spencerport held at the Village Hall, 27 West Avenue, Spencerport, New York on December 4, 2002

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN- 2002 -- DETERMINATION OF SIGNIFICANCE FOR SEQR

Introduced by: Mayor Walker Seconded by: Trustee Rauber

WHEREAS, the Spencerport Village Board (hereinafter referred to as Village Board) has considered the final draft of the document entitled **Spencerport**, **New York 2002 Comprehensive Plan** (hereinafter referred to as "The Plan"); and

WHEREAS, the Village Board has also given consideration to the public hearing record and the advisory reports from the County of Monroe Department of Planning; and

WHEREAS, there has been no written comments or concerns submitted to the Village Clerk on the adequacy of the Environmental Record prepared on The Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Village Board is the only Involved Agency and, therefore, makes the following determination of significance based upon its review of the criteria for determining significance as set forth in Section 617.7 (c) of the State Environmental Quality Review (SEQR) Regulations.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

- **SECTION 1:** That the Village Board hereby makes the following determination of the criteria set forth above as to whether or not the adoption of The Plan will (or will not) likely result in a significant adverse impact upon the environment:
 - **(i)** The Plan does *not* envision a substantial change in existing air quality, ground or surface water quality or quantity, traffic or noise levels; a substantial increase in solid waste production; or, a substantial increase in potential for erosion, flooding, leaching or drainage problems. The Plan provides specific goals, objectives and recommended actions to promote the protection of these environmental features. Adoption of The Plan and implementation of the recommended actions is likely to result in the mitigation of these development components upon the environment in the Village.
 - **(ii)** The Plan does *not* provide for the removal or destruction of large quantities of vegetation or fauna; substantial interference with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species; adverse impacts on significant habitat areas; substantial adverse impacts on a threatened or endangered species of animal or plant, or the habitat of such species; or any other significant adverse impact upon the natural resources. The Plan provides specific goals, objectives and recommended actions to promote the protection of these environmental features. Adoption of The Plan and

implementation of the recommended actions is likely to result in positive environmental impacts on these criteria.

- (iii) The Plan does *not* provide for the impairment of the environmental characteristics of a Critical Environmental Area as designated pursuant to subdivision 617.14 (g) of the SEQR Regulations.
- **(iv)** The Plan does *not* propose the creation of a material conflict with the Village's current comprehensive plan or the officially adopted goals contained in said plan. The Plan builds upon the foundation (the adopted goals, objectives and recommended actions) that have been established and implemented by the Village of Spencerport, as contained in the adopted Village of Spencerport Comprehensive Plan (Master Plan) that was originally adopted in September of 1966 and revised in November, 1991. As such, the specific goals, objectives and recommended action statements have been formatted to address, to the level determined appropriate by the community, the functional areas that comprise a comprehensive plan as defined under Section 7-722 of the New York State Village Law.
- **(v)** The Plan does *not* propose action which would impair the character or quality of important historical, archeological, architectural, or aesthetic resources or of existing community or neighborhood character. Continued efforts to preserve the Canal Town Theme will mitigate the potential for negative impacts in these areas.
- **(vi)** The Plan does *not* recommend a major change in the use of either the quantity or type of energy used to support existing and planned areas of growth and development. The Plan recommends a pattern of growth and development that has been reviewed by and accepted by the residents of the community, as evidenced by the public participation process and public hearing record. This pattern of development builds upon the energy infrastructure used to support existing growth and planned areas for development.
- **(vii)** The Plan does *not* propose action which would create a hazard to human health. **(viii)** The Plan does *not* propose action which would likely result in a substantial change in the use, or intensity of use, or land including agricultural, open space or recreational resources, or in its capacity to support existing uses. While refining recreational opportunities is a goal & policy of the Plan, it is expected that these efforts will not attract additional users to these facilities.
- **(ix)** The Plan does *not* encourage or attract a large number of people to a particular place or places for more than a few days, when compared to the number of people who would come to such place absent the action. The Plan does not promote events or activities which would encourage or attract large numbers of people to a particular place. Existing community events and festivals have not been promoted in The Plan, as this is not one of the planning considerations set forth in Section 7-722 of New York State Village Law.
- (x) The Plan does *not* create a material demand for other actions which would result in one of the above consequences.
- (xi) The Plan does *not* recommend changes in two or more elements of the environment, no one of which has a significant impact on the environment, but when considered together result in a substantial adverse impact on the environment. The Plan does, however, recommend that site specific actions which have the potential to adversely affect the environment identify mitigation measures as part of any review under the SEQR provisions. This action will minimize the potential for two or more elements together having a substantial adverse impact on the environment.
- (xii) The Plan does *not* propose two or more related actions to be undertaken, funded, or approved by an agency, none of which has or would have a significant impact on the environment, or when considered cumulatively would meet one or more of the criteria in this section of the SEQR Regulations.

SECTION 2. The adoption and maintenance of the **Spencerport, New York 2002 Comprehensive Plan**, sets forth a logical and environmentally sensitive pattern for future land use growth and development; and

SECTION 3. The Plan contains goals, objectives and recommended actions that adequately identify each of the considerations set forth in Section 7-722 of the New York State Village Law to adequately protect and preserve the environment in the Village of Spencerport, Monroe County, New York; and

SECTION 4. The Plan's adoption by the Village Board will provide a comprehensive basis for municipal and private sector decisions affecting growth and development that are felt most likely to result in positive effects upon the environmental quality of life in the Village of Spencerport; and

SECTION 5. The Plan's adoption and maintenance will not result in any potentially significant adverse impacts upon the environment.

SECTION 6. The Village Board directs the Mayor to sign and to issue a Negative Declaration on the action to adopt The Plan.

SECTION 7. Public notice of this determination is to be filed in accordance with the procedures set forth in the State Environmental Quality Review (SEQR) Regulations.

Vote of the Board: Theodore E. Rauber, Trustee Aye Steven t. Russell, Trustee Aye Timothy M. Peer, Trustee Aye Theodore E. Walker, Mayor Aye

Comprehensive Plan Update 2002

RESOLUTION 51 12/02

At a regular meeting of the Village Board of the Village of Spencerport held at the Village Hall, 27 West Avenue, Spencerport, New York on December 4, 2002

ADOPTION OF COMPREHENSIVE PLAN UPDATE - 2002

Introduced by Mayor Walker Seconded by Trustee Russell

WHEREAS, significant decisions affecting the immediate and long-term protection, enhancement, growth and development of the Village of Spencerport are made by the various Village Boards, and,

WHEREAS, among the most important powers and duties granted by the state legislature to Village governments is the authority and responsibility to undertake Village comprehensive planning and to regulate land use for the purpose of protecting the health, safety and general welfare of its citizens, and,

WHEREAS, the adoption by the Village of Spencerport Village Board of the document entitled **Spencerport New York 2002 Comprehensive Plan** can be readily identified and available for use by the public, and,

WHEREAS, there has been evidence of adequate consideration given to the diversity of resources and conditions that exist within the Village, and,

WHEREAS, there has been extensive public participation by Spencerport residents in an open, responsible and flexible planning process, and,

WHEREAS, the above cited comprehensive plan is a means to make consistent and accountable decision making to promote the health, safety and general welfare of the people of the Village and gives due consideration to the needs of the people of the region of which the Village is a part, and,

WHEREAS, all substantive and procedural requirements of the State Environmental Quality Review (SEQR) regulations have been complied with and a determination of non-significance has been recorded.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED

SECTION 1: That the Spencerport Village Board does hereby adopt the **Spencerport New York 2002 Comprehensive Plan** as the Official Plan Document for the Village.

SECTION 2: That certified copies of the adopted Plan Document are to be kept on file at the Village Hall.

SECTION 3: That this resolution shall take effect immediately.

Vote of the Board: Theodore E. Rauber, Trustee Ave

Steven t. Russell, Trustee Aye Timothy M. Peer, Trustee Aye Theodore E. Walker, Mayor Aye

Proposed Local Law 106/2002 - Local Law amending Parking Regulations and Enforcement in the Village of Spencerport.

Mayor Walker opened the Public Hearing on Proposed Local Law 106/2002 at 7:20 p.m.

Mayor Walker then turned the meeting over to Trustee Rauber.

Trustee Rauber read the Proposed Local and asked for audience and Village Board comments.

Joan Quigley: Does this mean that the police can have a vehicle towed?

Attorney Olson: The police can have a vehicle towed under NYS Vehicle and Traffic Law.

Joan Quigley: It doesn't happen on Maplewood Avenue.

Attorney Olson: It doesn't happen any where. Police generally would like to be doing other things. What this does is allow the Superintendent of Public Works to have vehicles towed. The other major change that we get into is that in the middle of the summer and you have received three tickets you can get towed.

Mayor Walker closed the Public Hearing on Proposed Local Law 106/2002 at 7:26 p.m.

RESOLUTION 52 12/02

At a regular meeting of the Village Board of the Village of Spencerport held at the Village Hall, 27 West Avenue, Spencerport, New York on December 4, 2002

Introduced by Mayor Walker Seconded by Trustee Rauber

RESOLUTION ADOPTING LOCAL LAW

Whereas, the Village Board has before it a Local Law entitled "A Local Law Amending Parking Regulations and Enforcement in the Village of Spencerport" which, if adopted, will amend the Village Code to allow for the Superintendent of Highways and the Working foreman for the Department of Public Works to issue parking tickets and to remove illegally parked vehicles under circumstances enumerated in the local law; and

Whereas, the Village Board has, on this date, held a public hearing to consider said proposed Local Law; and

Whereas, this action is a "Type II" action under the State Environmental Quality Review Act and 6NYCRR615.5(c)(16) and not subject to review pursuant to SEQRA; and

Whereas, the notice of this hearing was duly published and posted as required by law;

Now, therefore, be it resolved:

Section 1. That the Village Board of the Village of Spencerport hereby enacts Local Law 6/2002. "A Local Law Amending Parking Regulations and Enforcement in the Village of Spencerport".

Section 2. That the Village Clerk is ordered to publish a legal notice indicating the adoption of this Local Law and to file a copy of this Local Law with New York State.

Section 3. That this Local Law shall take effect upon the filing and publication.

Section 4. That this resolution shall take effect immediately.

Vote of the Board: Theodore E. Rauber, Trustee Aye
Steven t. Russell, Trustee Aye
Timothy M. Peer, Trustee Aye
Theodore E. Walker, Mayor Aye

Proposed Local Law 107/2002 - Local Law Regulating Parking in Village Parking Lots In the Village of Spencerport.

Mayor Walker opened the Public Hearing on Proposed Local Law 107/2002 at 7:27 p.m.

Mayor Walker then turned the meeting over to Trustee Rauber.

Trustee Rauber read the Proposed Local and asked for audience and Village Board comments.

Mayor Walker closed the Public Hearing on Proposed Local Law 107/2002 at 7:34 p.m.

RESOLUTION 53 12/02

At a regular meeting of the Village Board of the Village of Spencerport held at the Village Hall, 27 West Avenue, Spencerport, New York on December 4, 2002

Introduced by Trustee Rauber Seconded by Trustee Peer

RESOLUTION ADOPTING LOCAL LAW

Whereas, the Village Board has before it a Local Law entitled "A Local Law to Regulate Parking in Village Parking Lots in the Village of Spencerport" which, if adopted, will amend the Village Code to prohibit parking in Village owned parking lots between the hours of 2:00 a.m. and 6:00 a.m. every day unless otherwise permitted by a resolution of the Board of; and

Whereas, the Village Board has, on this date, held a public hearing to consider said proposed Local Law; and

Whereas, this action is a "Type II" action under the State Environmental Quality Review Act and 6NYCRR615.5(c)(16) and not subject to review pursuant to SEQRA; and

Whereas, the notice of this hearing was duly published and posted as required by law;

Now, therefore, be it resolved:

Section 1. That the Village Board of the Village of Spencerport hereby enacts Local Law 7/2002. "A Local Law to Regulate Parking in Village Parking Lots in the Village of Spencerport".

Section 2. That the Village Clerk is ordered to publish a legal notice indicating the adoption of this Local Law and to file a copy of this Local Law with New York State.

Section 3. That this Local Law shall take effect upon the filing and publication.

Section 4. That this resolution shall take effect immediately.

Vote of the Board:	Theodore E. Rauber, Trustee	Aye
	Steven t. Russell, Trustee	Aye
	Timothy M. Peer, Trustee	Aye
	Theodore E. Walker, Mayor	Aye

PRIVILEGE OF FLOOR

Nothing requiring Board action.

CORRESPONDENCE

Mayor Walker acknowledges receipt of a letter from Bill Barlow thanking the Village Board for there participation in the drainage issue on the West Branch of Northrup Creek.

Mayor Walker acknowledges receipt of a letter received from a resident of Coventry Drive. This letter addresses some concerns this resident has with the proposed new development in the Village Pines area.

Sewers Committee - Trustee Rauber, Chairman

1. November 2002 Sewer Flow Report.

Trustee Rauber reported the average sewer flows at the wastewater treatment plant for the month of November 2002 was 816 thousand gallons. Trustee Rauber noted there was 4"of rainfall during the month of November.

<u>Planning/Zoning/Building Control</u> - Trustee Rauber, Chairman

A. Planning

1. Resolution – Monroe County Department of Planning and Development Land Use Decision-Making Training Program.

RESOLUTION 54 12/02

Introduced by Trustee Rauber Seconded by Trustee Russell

Resolved, that any Zoning, Planning and Village Board Member and secretaries are hereby authorized to attend the Monroe County Department of Planning and Development – Land Use Decision-Making Training Programs.

Vote of the Board: Theodore E. Rauber, Trustee Aye

Steven t. Russell, Trustee Aye Timothy M. Peer, Trustee Aye Theodore E. Walker, Mayor Aye

2. Village Pines

Trustee Rauber stated that Schultz Associates came back in front of the Planning Board for further discussion on the annexation of Village Pines III. A motion was made by Thomas Fairbrother and seconded by Denny Marra and carried unanimously to offer a recommendation to further review the annexation of Village Pines at the village board level. The board stated that since the land is continuous to the Village of Spencerport and assessable only by village streets it makes sense that it should be considered for annexation as part of the village. This does not imply any acceptance or agreement on the part on the Planning Board with the development concept as presented by the current developer.

Trustee Russell: Have they concluded their financial analysis, benefits, cost to the village, and impact on the residents?

Trustee Rauber: Not in detail.

Trustee Russell: Can I make a recommendation that they formally propose a document of that information for the board to review prior to that meeting.

Attorney Olson: Can I address that. I have been down this road with similar type projects. Because, this is a unique situation which requires the concurrence of two legislative boards; I think that those two boards should at least sit and talk to see whether there is any desire on behalf of both boards to go forward with the project. If you keep going on asking for more that gets interpreted as being this is good. The next step is SEQR.

Trustee Russell: If I can interrupt for one second. You said ask for more. We asked initially for them to come back with some hard estimates for us to look at. The door has always been open, it has not been closed.

Attorney Olson: I understand that, but the door may get closed somewhere else. Before we go too far with this things because the last time that type of activity went on, not with this legislative body, a major lawsuit was involved. It ended up at the appellate division before it got dismissed. You need that first informal meeting then the next step of SEQR. This is the type of project that we are dealing with.

Trustee Russell: May be I need to take another look at the annexation but the Village Trustees and the Mayor has to come to some understanding if this is good for the village residents.

Attorney Olson: So does the Town Board.

Trustee Russell: Right, but in terms of precedence I think the village has to deal with that issue first.

Attorney Olson: SEQR is the first thing that you need to do.

Administrator Scheg: Who is the lead agency on SEQR?

Attorney Olson: That is the part that is not clear. If the village wants to declare themselves lead agency, then go ahead. Then you circulate to the involved agency that request. If some one else also wants to be lead then the DEC sorts that out. That is my point we don't even know who is on first, it's not our property. I also believed that we accepted a check from the developer for Site Plan Review. We can not review a Site Plan for something that is not in our jurisdiction. So we need to return there check. I hate to give money back but I don't know of any legal reason we should have it.

Trustee Russell: Let's say we moved forward with your proposal. Let's say the town is lead agency for SEQR then what.

Attorney Olson: If it is the town then the town has to determine what the next steps are. Then they have to wait a 30 to 45 day waiting period. Then they fill out an Environmental Assessment form and make a determination of positive declaration or negative declaration. If they make a positive declaration then they draft an environmental impact statement. That environmental impact statement will tackle about each and every issue your looking for.

Trustee Russell: How will that deal with the issue of annexation?

Attorney Olson: Part of process. Before you can take any steps you have to have SEQR.

Mayor Walker: I think there is two parts to this process. Annexation is one and then what do you do with the property now that it's there.

Attorney Olson: To address those separately under SEQR is called segmentation and there are about four million court cases that say "no you can't do that". We have a developer in front of us who number one wants it to be annexed, number two he has a specific plan for it. Given that you do it all together. The involved agencies would be at this stage the town board, the Village board, the village planning board, the town planning board, and possible the zoning board. Also the Army Corp of Engineers, the DEC will get involved. The concept of SEQR is to look at the whole project and give it one look. That is fair to everybody.

Trustee Russell: That is fine but just as long as the out come will answer the question what is the cost to the village.

Attorney Olson: Logic tells me that this is the board that would be the lead agency. Because this is the municipality that is going to be the most impacted providing that this goes through. This is the group that will have to deal with the drainage, roads, etc.

B. Zoning

Trustee Rauber stated that at the last Zoning Board meeting the Zoning Board approved the use of video machines at the Laundromat located at 500 S. Union Street. The Laundromat is locked at 9 p.m. every night.

C. Building Control

Nothing requiring Board action.

D. Code Review

Trustee Rauber informed the board that the Code Review Committee met on November 18, 2002 and reviewed Article 11. There next meeting is scheduled for December 9, 2002 to review Article 10.

E. Architectural Review

Trustee Rauber stated that the Architectural Review Board met November 20, 2002 with Mr. Marello the owner of Abbott's to discuss his outstanding issues.

Finance Committee - Trustee Russell, Chairman

1. Monthly Investment.

The Board unanimously acknowledges receipt of monthly investment of consolidated Village funds, Insured Municipal Money Market, HSBC, 1.94 %. It is up .03% from prior month.

2. Resolution Professional Services - Eldredge, Fox, & Porretti, LLP

RESOLUTION 55 12/02

Introduced by Trustee Russell Seconded by Trustee Peer

Whereas, the Village Board acknowledges receipt of Eldredge, Fox, & Porretti, LLP's (EFP) letter proposal, dated November 27, 2002, to provide professional accounting services and advice to the Village's water fund, and

Whereas, under this engagement, EFP will (1) review current water fund financials, and (2) prepare a document which will detail the water fund's financial condition from an "enterprise" accounting perspective ("Enterprise" accounting depreciates assets and projects annual expenditures for future system upgrades). This information can then be used by the Village to make prudent and informed decisions regarding the water fund, and

Whereas, this is an hourly contract billed at EFP standard billing rates in the not-to-exceed amount of \$2800, and

Whereas, it is expected that all work of this professional services engagement will be complete by June 30, 2003.

Now, therefore be it resolved,

Section 1: The Village Board hereby approves Eldredge, Fox, & Porretti, LLP's letter proposal, dated November 27, 2002. The engagement shall be for professional accounting services to the water fund for the not-to-exceed amount of \$2800.

Section 2: All work under the engagement shall be complete by June 30, 2003.

Section 3: The Mayor or the Village Administrator is hereby authorized to sign the letter proposal on behalf of the Village.

Section 4: That this resolution shall take effect immediately.

Vote of the Board: Theodore E. Rauber, Trustee Aye Steven T. Russell, Trustee Aye Timothy M. Peer, Trustee Aye Theodore E. Walker, Mayor Aye

3. Resolution Professional Services – Fiscal Advisors & Marketing, Inc.

RESOLUTION 56 12/02

Introduced by Trustee Russell Seconded by Trustee Rauber

Whereas, the Village Board acknowledges receipt of Fiscal Advisors and Marketing, Inc.'s (F/A) proposal/agreement to provide professional financial advice and marketing services, dated December 4, 2002, and

Whereas, the proposal received from F/A details a-la-carte financial services which the Village may need, from time to time, to allow for prudent and informed decisions, and

Whereas, the proposal/agreement remains in effect until replaced/updated or terminated by either F/A or the Village upon forty five (45) days advance written notice.

Now, therefore be it resolved,

Section 1: The Village Board hereby approves the professional services proposal/agreement of Fiscal Advisors and Marketing, Inc., dated December 4, 2002.

Section 2: The Village Treasurer and Village Administrator shall review and approve any a-lacarte financial service so listed in the proposal/agreement prior to engaging F/A for such service.

Section 3: The proposal/agreement remains in effect until replaced/updated or terminated by either F/A or the Village with forty five (45) days advance written notice.

Section 4: The Mayor or Village Administrator is authorized to sign the proposal/agreement on behalf of the Village.

Section 5: That this resolution shall take effect immediately.

Vote of the Board: Theodore E. Rauber, Trustee Aye

Steven T. Russell, Trustee Aye Timothy M. Peer, Trustee Aye Theodore E. Walker, Mayor Aye

Facilities Committee - Trustee Russell, Chairman

A. Electric

Trustee Russell informed the board that RG&E is holding a hearing tonight regarding their proposed rate increases.

B. Water

Nothing requiring Board action.

C. Other (Drainage, Franchise)

Trustee Russell thanked Bill Barlow for participating in the drainage study on Northrup Creek prepared by MRB/group. Mr. Barlow has also volunteered in helping communicate our message to legislature, Senators, etc who might have monies available to help our cause.

Trustee Rauber to Attorney Olson: Are the property owners responsible for creeks that run through their property regarding erosion?

Attorney Olson: Unless the municipality takes on the affirmative duty.

Trustee Rauber: My next question is if we went and created this easement area to protect it so development couldn't happen in that watershed area then we would be responsible because it is our easement or is the property owner responsible?

Attorney Olson: It depends on how the easement is drafted. You would typically have the right but not the duty.

Bill Barlow: Who determines the need for repairs to the stream?

Attorney Olson: That is a real good question. Normally if there is a comprehensive plan for drainage usually it is contained in there.

Bill Barlow: It seems that there has to be a history somewhere, where some agency was told do something and a property owner was told to do something.

Public Works Committee - Trustee Granger, Chairman

A. Highways/Garage

Mayor Walker complimented the DPW on this year's leaf pick-up. We seem to have gotten 90% of our leaves picked up before the snow came.

B. Public Services (Refuse, Recycling, Parks)

Nothing requiring Board action.

<u>Public Safety Committee</u> – Trustee Granger, Chairman

A. Fire Department

Mayor Walker informed the audience and board of the upcoming Spencerport Fire District Commissioners elections to be held December 10, 2002 from 6 to 8 p.m. at Station III. This is the first time this is open to village residents since the new district was formed.

B. Police

Nothing requiring Board action.

Human Resources Committee - Trustee Granger, Chairman

Trustee Peer stated that the employee surveys have been returned. Trustee Granger and he are compiling the data to give the board by our next workshop meeting.

Economic Development Committee - Trustee Peer, Chairman

Nothing requiring Board action.

Village Administrator - Alan R. Scheg

Administrator Scheg requested Executive Session on behalf of Superintendent Linder to discuss a personnel matter.

<u>Village Clerk</u> - Pamela J. Gilbert

1. Software Update.

Clerk Gilbert updated the board on the Financial Software training and implementation schedule. An EOS personnel is here this week working with Treasurer Harissis on General Ledger. This however has pushed back the implementation of our electric and water software approximately one month.

2. Office Renovation Update.

Clerk Gilbert stated to the board that we have a pre-construction meeting scheduled for December 9, 2002 with the contractor to confirm the start date of our office renovation. We have a tentative start date of January 2, 2003. A letter has been sent to the Fire Commissioners to request use of the Station I Fire House meeting room for the months of January, February, March, and April while our office is under renovation. An insert will be placed in the Suburban News notifying the residents of our renovations and how to contact us and pay their bills.

3. Resolution - Vacation Carry-overs.

RESOLUTION 57 12/02

Introduced by Mayor Walker Seconded by Trustee Rauber

Resolved, that the Village Board hereby authorizes the following personnel to carry over unused 2002 vacation days to the 2003 calendar year.

Michael Barlow	7 days
Pamela J. Gilbert	10 days
Linda Harissis	22 days
Michael Howard	6 days
Paul Robinson	7 days
Alan Scheg	14 days
Michael Vickery	7 days
Thomas West	6.5 ďays

Vote of the Board: Theodore E. Rauber, Trustee Aye Steven T. Russell, Trustee Aye

Timothy M. Peer, Trustee Aye Theodore E. Walker, Mayor Aye

Clerk Gilbert requested Executive Session to discuss a personnel matter.

Superintendent of Public Works - Thomas M. West

Superintendent West updated the Board on the following:

- 1. Two street lights on Union Street were hit by a plow truck owned by the town.
- 2. Snow removal has started for the year.
- 3. Leaf pickup has stopped due to the early snow fall.

Superintendent West requested Executive Session to discuss a personnel matter.

Superintendent of Electric- John E. Linder

Not in attendance

Attorney - Richard J. Olson

Nothing requiring Board action.

Administration Committee - Mayor Walker, Chairman

1. Parades/Assembly - St. John the Evangelist School - Christmas Caroling

RESOLUTION 58 12/02

Introduced by Mayor Walker Seconded by Trustee Peer

Resolved, that the application of St. John's School to conduct Christmas Caroling (Amity, Clark, West, S. Union)on Thursday, December 12, 2002 between the hours of 6:30 p.m. and 7:30 p.m. be hereby approved.

Vote of the Board: Theodore E. Rauber, Trustee Aye

Steven T. Russell, Trustee Aye Timothy M. Peer, Trustee Aye Theodore E. Walker, Mayor Aye

2. Resolution – NYCOM Winter Legislative Meeting.

RESOLUTION NO 59 12/02

Introduced by Mayor Walker Seconded by Trustee Rauber

Resolved, that any Village Board Member and Village Administrator are hereby authorized to attend the 2003 NYCOM Winter Legislative Meeting Registration to be held February 23-25, 2003, Albany, New York.

Vote of the Board: Theodore E. Rauber, Trustee Aye

Steven T. Russell, Trustee Aye Timothy M. Peer, Trustee Aye Theodore E. Walker, Mayor Aye

Unfinished Business

Mayor Walker mentioned that the Annual Christmas on the Canal will be December 8, 2002 from 2 – 6 p.m.

New Business

Nothing requiring Board action.

Bills

Motion was made by Trustee Rauber, seconded by Trustee Russell and carried unanimously that the monthly bills be paid as audited in the following amounts:

General Fund	\$50,399.43
Electric Fund	\$28,964.61
Water Fund	\$5,921.53
Sewer Fund	\$28,813.55
Capital Fund	\$850.00
Trust Fund	SO

TOTAL: \$114,949.12

Voucher Nos. 385-495

Motion was made by Mayor Walker, seconded by Trustee Peer and carried unanimously that the cash disbursements for the General, Electric, Water, Sewer, and Trust Funds, November 2002 be approved as audited.

Adjournment

Motion was made by Mayor Walker, seconded by Trustee Russell and carried unanimously that the regular meeting be adjourned to Executive Session at 8:43 p.m.

Motion was made by Mayor Walker, seconded by Trustee Peer and carried unanimously that Executive Session be adjourned to the regular meeting at 9:30 p.m.

Motion was made by Mayor Walker, seconded by Trustee Rauber and carried unanimously that the regular meeting be adjourned at 9:31 p.m.